IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2005

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 253 OF 2005

SHRI. VENKU GOPAL TARI (SINCE DEC.) THR. LRS. AND 3 ORS., ...Applicants

Versus

SHRI. NILVONTA SURYA SHET AND ANR., ...Respondents

Shri S. D. Padiyar, Advocate for Applicants.

Coram:- SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

Date:- 12th July, 2006

P.C.:

By order dated 20/12/2005, this Court had directed the petitioners to supply addresses of the advocates H.L. Naik and Daya Naik. The learned Counsel for petititioners says that there is a typographical error and the name of Daya Naik which should be read as Gurudas Naik. This Court had further ordered that if the addresses are not furnished within three days, writ petition shall stand dismissed without reference to Court. Because the petitioners were not aware of the addresses, they could not furnish the addresses within three days and therefore, the writ petition has been dismissed as per order dated 20/10/2005.

In this civil application the petitioners are seeking extension of time to furnish the addresses and setting aside of the dismissal of the petition. It appears from the application that petitioners were not aware of the addresses of H.L. Naik and Gurudas Naik. The petitioners now have

these addresses and want to furnish the same. Hence in the interest of justice, order dated 20/10/2005 is set aside. Petitioners shall furnish these addresses to the office within two weeks. Upon the petitioners furnishing these addressess, office to issue fresh notice returnable after three weeks.

Civil application is disposed of.

SMT. RANJANA DESAI, J.

NH